1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney	
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)	
3	Chief, Criminal Division	
4	THOMAS M. O'CONNELL (NYSBN 1801950) Assistant United States Attorney	
5	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900	
6	San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5053	
7	FAX: (408) 535-5066 Thomas.M.OConnell@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	<u>*E-FILED - 6/11/08*</u>
9	7 Morneys for Flammir	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 08- 00155- RMW
14		No. CR 00 00133 RWW
15		STIPULATION AND [] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
16	 	ONDER EXCEODING TIME
17		SAN JOSE VENUE
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SAIV JOSE VEIVOE
19	·	
20		
21	On April 21, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for status conference	
22	The parties jointly requested that the case be continued from May 19, 2008 until June 30, 2008	
23	at 9:00 a.m. in order for counsel for defendant and the Government to discuss a possible	
24	resolution of the case. In addition, the parties requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy	
25	Trial Act from May 19, 2008 until June 30, 2008. The parties agree and stipulate that an	
26	exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of	
27	counsel.	
28	3 //	
	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER NO. 08-00155-RMW 1	

SO STIPULATED: JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 1 **United States Attorney** 2 DATED: 5/27/08 3 THOMAS M. O'CONNELL Assistant United States Attorney 4 5 6 DATED: 05/27/08 JAMES MCNAIR THOMPSON 7 Counsel for ROBERT DUBOIS 8 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded 9 under the Speedy Trial Act from May 19, 2008 until June 30, 2008. The Court finds, based on 10 11 the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant 12 the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective 13 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage 14 of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 15 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). 16 SO ORDERED. 17 18 6/11/08 DATED: 19 United States District Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [] ORDER

2

Document 15

Filed 06/11/2008

Page 2 of 2

Case 5:08-cr-00155-RMW

No. 08-00155-RMW